



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR TELNP0157US FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. Т

09/057,261

04/08/98

O'HAGAN

WM51/1025 023623 AMIN ESCHWEILER & TUROCY, LLP 24TH FLOOR, NATIONAL CITY CENTER 1900 EAST 9TH STREET CLEVELAND OH 44114

EXAMINER SAX,R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2645

DATE MAILED:

10/25/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Advisory Action

Application No. 09/057,261 Apply. ant(s)

O'Hagan

Examiner

Robert Sax

Group Art Unit



71.15		W'\	
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)] a) perpires months from the mailing date of the final rejection.			
ŀ	expires either three months from the mailing date of the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whis later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the rejection.	THIGH	
0	Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.		
	Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of the Notice of Appeal filed on	_ (or within any	
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Jul 18, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is NOT deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:			
∑ The proposed amendment(s):			
	will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal and an Appeal Brief.		
	will not be entered because:		
	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See note below).		
	they raise the issue of new matter. (See note below).		
	they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.		
	they present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claim	115.	
	NOTE: See a Hacked		
	Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):		
	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allow separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.	able if submitted in a	
	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the applic for allowance because:		
	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were Examiner in the final rejection.	newly raised by the	
X	For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as follows (see attached written explanation, if any):		
	Claims allowed: none		
	Claims objected to: <u>none</u>		
	Claims rejected: <u>1, 2, 4, 5, and 8-22</u>		
	The proposed drawing correction filed on has has not been approved	by the Examiner.	
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).		
] Other		

Advisory Action

New Text Document Advisory Supplement for 09/057,261

Claim 22 does not contain speech recognition. Therefor, applicants changes to claim 1 (which has speech recognition) and arguments relating it to claim 22 are confusing.

DAVID D. KNEPPER PRIMARY EXAMINER

Jail S. Fr